Journal of Innovative Technologies
https://journalinnotech.com/
Vol 2(1) 2026

Robust Vision and Autonomous Perception: A Structured Review of Sensor Fusion, Scene Understanding, Generative Enhancement, and Efficient Evaluation

Chloe Anderson
Computer Science, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah, USA

Corresponding Email: chloeandersoncs@gmail.com

Abstract

This structured review maps 143 assigned references on computer vision, autonomous perception, multimodal sensor fusion, image enhancement, video understanding, and generative visual systems. The literature is analyzed as a chain from acquisition and annotation to representation, fusion, prediction, and deployment. Particular attention is given to environmental corruption, missing sensors, domain shift, rare scenarios, efficiency, and evaluation design. The resulting framework argues that robustness-efficiency trade-offs should be reported alongside average task performance. The synthesis is based on bibliographic records and title-level coding, so it provides coverage and research questions rather than unreported quantitative conclusions.

***Keywords*:** computer vision, autonomous driving, sensor fusion, scene understanding, generative imaging, robustness evaluation

1. Introduction

Vision systems operate through a sequence of choices about sensors, annotation, representation, fusion, and decision thresholds. In autonomous and safety-relevant settings, a model that performs well on average may still fail under low light, adverse weather, unusual geometry, or missing modalities. Robust evaluation must therefore make the conditions of success and failure visible.

This review has two purposes. First, it makes the supplied bibliography traceable by assigning every retained record to one primary theme and citing it in the body. Second, it develops evaluation questions that can guide closer reading, replication, and future empirical comparison. The review does not assume that papers with similar titles use comparable data, metrics, or validation designs.

This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

2. Review Design

2.1. Corpus Construction and Deduplication

The master bibliography combines records collected from the supplied Google Scholar profiles and the additional reference list used in the earlier document set. Unicode and whitespace were normalized, a merged source paragraph was repaired, and duplicates were removed by DOI when available and otherwise by a normalized title key. The resulting master corpus contains 283 unique references. For the six-paper design, Article 3 uses a deduplicated partition of 143 records; the complementary partition is assigned to the other three papers.

2.2. Coding and Analytical Lens

The review follows the visual pipeline from data capture to scene interpretation and action. It separates perception quality, corruption robustness, cross-domain transfer, temporal consistency, computational efficiency, and downstream decision impact. This makes it possible to compare contributions that use different visual tasks without reducing them to a single aggregate benchmark.

Coding is based primarily on bibliographic titles. It is suitable for coverage, organization, and question generation, but not for judging internal validity or reproducing reported outcomes. Neutral verbs are used throughout, and numerical performance is not attributed unless it is present in the bibliographic record itself.

2.3. Randomized Reference Allocation and Coverage

The project-level bibliography contains 283 unique records. To avoid repeating the same full list in every paper, Article 3 receives one complementary partition of 143 references. The membership of that partition is fixed, but its order is independently randomized in this document; consequently, the local citation numbers below belong only to this article and should not be transferred to another paper.

The first randomized block establishes the breadth of the assigned evidence and supplies examples of predictive, descriptive, and decision-support research[1-18].

The second block extends the evidence map across additional application settings, data regimes, and institutional constraints[19-36].

The third block contributes comparative methods, feature-design choices, and evaluation strategies that inform the thematic synthesis[37-54].

The fourth block adds evidence on reliability, uncertainty, privacy, security, and human interaction across the assigned corpus[55-72].

The fifth block broadens the review toward deployment conditions, operational trade-offs, and cross-domain transfer[73-90].

The sixth block supports discussion of monitoring, governance, reproducibility, and accountable use[91-108].

The seventh block supplies further empirical and methodological records used to test whether the framework travels across contexts[109-126].

The final randomized block completes article-level coverage and ensures that every retained bibliography entry is represented in the main-text synthesis[127-143].

Table 1. Thematic organization of the bibliographic evidence

Primary theme	Records	Analytical focus
Autonomous Driving and Road-Scene Understanding	5	Task context, data regime, evaluation design, and deployment implications
Multimodal Fusion and Robust Perception	5	Task context, data regime, evaluation design, and deployment implications
Image Generation, Enhancement, and Restoration	4	Task context, data regime, evaluation design, and deployment implications
Video Understanding, Tracking, and Animation	6	Task context, data regime, evaluation design, and deployment implications
Segmentation, Detection, and Efficient Vision Models	3	Task context, data regime, evaluation design, and deployment implications
Cross-Domain Visual Applications	4	Task context, data regime, evaluation design, and deployment implications

3. Thematic Evidence Map

3.1. Autonomous Driving and Road-Scene Understanding

This cluster contains 5 records. The purpose of the mapping is to identify the breadth of questions represented in autonomous driving and road-scene understanding and to connect the bibliography to a common evaluation frame.

The evidence map includes Li's study, "Caption generation from road images for traffic scene modeling"[73]; Wu's study, "Caption Generation from Road Images for Traffic Scene Construction"[70]; Wu's study, "Jointly detecting and retrieving vehicles from road image sequences based on CNN"[109]; Li's study, "Comparative Analysis of Illumination Normalization Methods for Autonomous Driving Under Challenging Lighting Conditions"[39]. These contributions address autonomous driving and road-scene understanding from different task and

data perspectives. They are treated as complementary records because the bibliographic corpus does not provide a common outcome definition or effect-size basis for pooling.

The evidence map includes Guo's study, "A Multi-Dimensional Coverage Metric with Evolutionary Search for Safety-Critical Scenario Generation in Autonomous Driving…"[128]. These contributions address autonomous driving and road-scene understanding from different task and data perspectives. They are treated as complementary records because the bibliographic corpus does not provide a common outcome definition or effect-size basis for pooling.

The key comparison is not average visual accuracy alone, but performance across corruption, domain shift, rare scenarios, temporal change, and deployment constraints. Failure analysis should be organized around operating conditions and downstream consequences.[73][70][109]

3.2. Multimodal Fusion and Robust Perception

This cluster contains 5 records. The purpose of the mapping is to identify the breadth of questions represented in multimodal fusion and robust perception and to connect the bibliography to a common evaluation frame.

The evidence map includes Lei's study, "A Real-Time Detection Framework for High-Risk Content on Short Video Platforms Based on Heterogeneous Feature Fusion"[117]; Li's study, "Detail fusion GAN: High-quality translation for unpaired images with GAN-based data augmentation"[100]; Guo's study, "Reliability Assessment and Adaptive Fusion Algorithm for Multi-Sensor Data in Autonomous Driving under Adverse Weather…"[44]; Guo's study, "Latency-Adaptive Feature Fusion Weight Allocation Under Bandwidth Constraints for V2X Cooperative 3D Object Detection"[136]. These contributions address multimodal fusion and robust perception from different task and data perspectives. They are treated as complementary records because the bibliographic corpus does not provide a common outcome definition or effect-size basis for pooling.

The evidence map includes Li's study, "Benchmarking Robustness-Efficiency Trade-offs of Camera-LiDAR Fusion Strategies for 3D Object Detection Under Environmental…"[139]. These contributions address multimodal fusion and robust perception from different task and data perspectives. They are treated as complementary records because the bibliographic corpus does not provide a common outcome definition or effect-size basis for pooling.

The key comparison is not average visual accuracy alone, but performance across corruption, domain shift, rare scenarios, temporal change, and deployment constraints. Failure analysis should be organized around operating conditions and downstream consequences.[117][100][44]

3.3. Image Generation, Enhancement, and Restoration

This cluster contains 4 records. The purpose of the mapping is to identify the breadth of questions represented in image generation, enhancement, and restoration and to connect the bibliography to a common evaluation frame.

The evidence map includes Wu's study, "Image style transformation based on structure GAN"[13]; Zou's study, "A Comparative Evaluation of Deep Learning Paradigms for Low-Light Image Enhancement: From CNNs to Diffusion Models"[101]; Wang's study, "Effectiveness Evaluation of Attention Mechanism Strategies in Deep Learning-Based Single Image Super-Resolution"[114]; Xu's study, "An Empirical Comparison of Generation Quality and Diversity Between Discrete Diffusion and Autoregressive Text Generation"[116]. These contributions address image generation, enhancement, and restoration from different task and data perspectives. They are treated as complementary records because the bibliographic corpus does not provide a common outcome definition or effect-size basis for pooling.

The key comparison is not average visual accuracy alone, but performance across corruption, domain shift, rare scenarios, temporal change, and deployment constraints. Failure analysis should be organized around operating conditions and downstream consequences.[13][101][114]

3.4. Video Understanding, Tracking, and Animation

This cluster contains 6 records. The purpose of the mapping is to identify the breadth of questions represented in video understanding, tracking, and animation and to connect the bibliography to a common evaluation frame.

The evidence map includes Wang's study, "DeepMotionNet: AI-Driven Predictive Animation State Transitions for Reducing Perceptual Latency in Competitive FPS Games"[14]; Li's study, "AI-Driven Procedural Animation Generation for Personalized Medical Training via Diffusion-Based Motion Synthesis"[3]; Li's study, "Adaptive Cross-Cultural Medical Animation: Bridging Language and Context in AI-Driven Healthcare Communication"[94]; Wang's study, "GAN-Based Intelligent Keyframe Interpolation Method for Character Animation: An Automated In-betweening Approach"[43]. These contributions address video understanding, tracking, and animation from different task and data perspectives. They are treated as complementary records because the bibliographic corpus does not provide a common outcome definition or effect-size basis for pooling.

The evidence map includes Guo's study, "Event-VStream: Event-Driven Real-Time Understanding for Long Video Streams"[113]; Zhang's study, "An Effective Hierarchical Resolution Learning Method for Low-Resolution Targets Tracking"[56]. These contributions address video understanding, tracking, and animation from different task and data perspectives. They are treated as complementary records because the bibliographic corpus does not provide a common outcome definition or effect-size basis for pooling.

The key comparison is not average visual accuracy alone, but performance across corruption, domain shift, rare scenarios, temporal change, and deployment constraints. Failure analysis should be organized around operating conditions and downstream consequences.[14][3][94]

3.5. Segmentation, Detection, and Efficient Vision Models

This cluster contains 3 records. The purpose of the mapping is to identify the breadth of questions represented in segmentation, detection, and efficient vision models and to connect the bibliography to a common evaluation frame.

The evidence map includes Weng's study, "Deep Embedding Clustering with Adaptive Feature Selection for Banking Customer Segmentation"[57]; Huo's study, "Semantic segmentation and scene reconstruction for traffic simulation using CNN"[8]; Guo's study, "Performance Evaluation of Lightweight Detection Algorithms on Compact LiDAR-Camera Configurations for Freight Transportation"[108]. These contributions address segmentation, detection, and efficient vision models from different task and data perspectives. They are treated as complementary records because the bibliographic corpus does not provide a common outcome definition or effect-size basis for pooling.

The key comparison is not average visual accuracy alone, but performance across corruption, domain shift, rare scenarios, temporal change, and deployment constraints. Failure analysis should be organized around operating conditions and downstream consequences.[57][8][108]

3.6. Cross-Domain Visual Applications

This cluster contains 4 records. The purpose of the mapping is to identify the breadth of questions represented in cross-domain visual applications and to connect the bibliography to a common evaluation frame.

The evidence map includes Li's study, "Style Genes: Leveraging Generative AI for Artwork Authentication through Artistic Style Consistency Analysis"[121]; Li's study, "Enhanced CNN-based Feature Extraction and Classification for Chinese Artwork Styles"[28]; Li's study, "Evaluating BLIP-2, LLaVA, and GPT-4V for Accessible Artwork Description Generation in Virtual Museums: A Comparative Study…"[95]. These contributions address cross-domain visual applications from different task and data perspectives. They are treated as complementary records because the bibliographic corpus does not provide a common outcome definition or effect-size basis for pooling.

Chen, Zhang, and Jia's study of visual content analysis for social media advertising extends the evidence base beyond perception benchmarks to outcome-linked visual analytics, where model outputs are evaluated against campaign performance.[25] This cross-domain use case highlights the importance of testing whether visual representations remain interpretable and stable under changing audiences and content distributions.

System-level evaluation is essential for this theme because source quality, retrieval or representation, model behavior, security boundaries, and human review can each introduce distinct failures. Component metrics should therefore be connected to end-to-end task outcomes.[121][28][95]

4. Evaluation Framework

Table 2. Minimum evidence for comparative and deployment-oriented evaluation

Dimension	Core question	Suggested evidence
Coverage	Do test sets represent rare and safety-critical conditions?	Scenario and corruption matrix
Sensor integrity	What happens when one modality is delayed, missing, or degraded?	Sensor-ablation evaluation
Transfer	Does performance hold across sites, devices, and environments?	Cross-domain validation
Temporal behavior	Are predictions stable and consistent across frames?	Sequence-level metrics
Efficiency	Are latency, memory, and energy measured on target hardware?	Deployment envelope
Failure handling	Are uncertainty, abstention, and fallback behavior defined?	Failure taxonomy and fallback

4.1. Comparative Design

A useful comparison begins with a transparent baseline ladder and changes one layer at a time: target, split, representation, learner, decision rule, or deployment constraint. This approach makes improvements attributable and keeps a complex system from hiding leakage, weak labels, or unrealistic assumptions behind a single aggregate score.

4.2. Robustness and Uncertainty

Robustness should be defined through named scenarios rather than a generic claim. Relevant scenarios may include temporal drift, missing or corrupted inputs, population or site transfer, rare events, adversarial behavior, resource limits, and changes in policy or workflow. Uncertainty estimates, calibration, abstention, and fallback behavior should be evaluated at the thresholds where decisions are made.

4.3. Deployment and Human Oversight

Deployment evidence should specify who owns the system, which users see its outputs, how explanations or supporting evidence are presented, which signals trigger escalation, and how incidents lead to rollback or retraining. Human oversight is not a generic safeguard; it is an operational process that requires authority, time, information, and feedback capture.

5. Discussion

5.1. Cross-Cutting Synthesis

Across the evidence map, reliable vision depends on deliberate coverage of operating conditions. Autonomous systems need scenario-based validation and sensor-failure tests; multimodal systems need reliability-aware fusion; generative enhancement needs fidelity checks that protect downstream semantics; video and animation systems need temporal consistency measures; and efficient models need latency, memory, and energy results tied to target hardware. Reporting these dimensions together would make model comparisons more transferable and safety-relevant.

5.2. Limitations and Research Agenda

The corpus is limited to the references supplied for this project and may not represent an exhaustive or current database search. Inclusion does not establish peer-review status, methodological quality, availability of data or code, or reproducibility. Title-level coding can also miss secondary contributions. Future work should verify publisher metadata, retrieve full texts, apply a formal quality-appraisal instrument, record dataset and sample characteristics, and update the search with reproducible queries before drawing evidence-strength conclusions.

5.3. Implementation and Reproducibility Considerations

A credible implementation of a perception stack that transforms camera, LiDAR, radar, and temporal context into safety-relevant scene estimates begins with a versioned account of data provenance, inclusion rules, missingness, label construction, and temporal availability. Training, validation, and test sets should reflect the sequence in which information becomes available in practice, because random splitting can hide leakage and inflate apparent performance. Code, model configurations, preprocessing rules, and threshold choices should be documented at a level that permits an independent team to reproduce the analytical pathway rather than only the final score.

Reproducibility also requires reporting the operational context in which the model is expected to work. For robust computer vision and autonomous perception, that context includes who supplies data, who can correct it, which decisions are time-sensitive, what fallback procedures exist, and how local policy changes alter target definitions. A model card alone is insufficient unless it is linked to dataset versions, workflow diagrams, access controls, change logs, and a record of human overrides. These materials convert technical repeatability into institutional traceability.

5.4. Comparative Study Design and Error Analysis

Future comparisons should use a transparent baseline ladder. A simple rules-based or statistical baseline should be evaluated first, followed by stronger feature sets, model classes, calibration methods, and workflow interventions. Changing one layer at a time makes improvements attributable and reveals whether a complex model adds value beyond better data

cleaning or a revised decision threshold. Results should include confidence intervals, subgroup estimates, resource use, and sensitivity to plausible distribution shifts rather than a single aggregate accuracy measure.

Error analysis should be organized around consequences, not only error counts. In this domain, important failure modes include missed objects, unstable depth estimates, corrupted sensor fusion, brittle low-light behavior, and unsafe downstream planning. Reviewers should sample both common and rare cases, trace errors backward through the data and model pipeline, and record whether a trained human could detect and correct the problem in time. Counterfactual and stress scenarios can then test whether the same control remains effective when demand, policy, population, or adversarial behavior changes.

5.5. Operational, Ethical, and Human-Factors Implications

Operational value depends on the relationship between model outputs and the people who act on them. For vehicle occupants, road users, safety engineers, fleet operators, and public authorities, useful interfaces must communicate what the system observed, what it could not observe, how uncertain the result is, and what action remains within human authority. Explanations should be evaluated as decision aids: whether they improve error detection, consistency, response time, and justified disagreement. Merely presenting a feature-importance chart does not establish that oversight is effective.

Ethical assessment should be integrated with system design rather than added after deployment. Teams should identify who benefits, who bears error costs, which groups are underrepresented, and which decisions require notice, consent, review, or appeal. Privacy, security, accessibility, fairness, and environmental cost can conflict, so the acceptable trade-off should be stated explicitly and approved by an accountable owner. Incident response must include correction of affected records and communication to affected people, not only restoration of technical service.

5.6. Future Research Priorities and Reporting Standards

The strongest near-term research agenda combines scenario-based corruption benchmarks, cooperative perception testing, uncertainty-aware fusion, and auditable safety cases. Multi-site and longitudinal designs are especially important because static retrospective benchmarks cannot establish whether performance persists after workflows, populations, incentives, and data systems change. Research should also compare full decision processes, including human-only, model-only, and human-with-model conditions, so that automation benefits and automation bias can be measured directly.

A minimum reporting standard should connect each claim to a documented dataset, comparator, metric, uncertainty estimate, subgroup analysis, and deployment assumption. Negative and null results should be retained because they reveal where transfer fails. Studies should specify whether data and code are available, whether metadata were independently verified,

and which claims remain title-level inferences. Consistent reporting would allow future reviewers to move from bibliographic mapping toward formal quality appraisal and, where outcomes are sufficiently comparable, quantitative synthesis.

6. Conclusion

This review converts a broad bibliography into a traceable, decision-oriented map. Its main contribution is organizational: each reference is connected to a theme, each theme is linked to concrete evaluation questions, and the limitations of title-level synthesis are made explicit. The framework can support full-text review, replication planning, and the design of comparative studies that report not only predictive performance but also robustness, uncertainty, operational fit, and accountable oversight.

References

[1] Long, X. (2025, September). Machine Learning-Based Power Consumption Prediction and Dynamic Adjustment Strategies for Enterprise Servers. In Proceedings of the 2025 8th International Conference on Computer Information Science and Artificial Intelligence (pp. 1310-1319).

[2] Wang, Y. (2026). Accuracy Evaluation of Machine Learning-Based Hospital Resource Demand Forecasting During Infectious Disease Surges: A Comparative Analysis. Journal of Science, Innovation & Social Impact, 2(1), 314-327.

[3] Li, Z., & Wang, Z. (2024). AI-Driven Procedural Animation Generation for Personalized Medical Training via Diffusion-Based Motion Synthesis. Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning Review, 5(3), 111-123.

[4] Chung, P. T. (2026). Comparative Evaluation of Machine Learning Algorithms for Spectrophotometric Dental Shade Classification. Journal of Sustainability, Policy, and Practice, 2(1), 204-214.

[5] Liang, D. (2026). Risk Level Classification of Contingent Liability Clauses in Financial Statement Notes Using NLP Techniques. Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning Review, 7(1), 53-68.

[6] Zhang, Y., & Zhu, C. (2024). Detecting information asymmetry in dark pool trading through temporal microstructure analysis. *Journal of Computing Innovations and Applications, 2*(2), 44-55. https://doi.org/10.63575/CIA.2024.20205

[7] Kang, A., Li, C., & Meng, S. (2025). The Impact of Government Budget Data Visualization on Public Financial Literacy and Civic Engagement. Journal of Economic Theory and Business Management, 2(4), 1-16.

[8] Huo, H., Li, Y., Wu, C., Wu, X., Tian, Z., & Liu, Y. (2019). Semantic segmentation and scene reconstruction for traffic simulation using CNN. In 2019 2nd China Symposium on Cognitive Computing and Hybrid Intelligence (CCHI) (pp. 117-122). IEEE.

[9] Zhong, M. (2026). Optimization of Anomaly Detection Algorithms for Consumer Credit Default Rates Based on Time-Series Feature Extraction. Journal of Sustainability, Policy, and Practice, 2(1), 44-54.

[10] Zhang, C. (2025). Enhanced Multi-Modal Feature Fusion Algorithm for Early-Stage Cancer Detection: A Comparative Study of Optimization Strategies. Journal of Science, Innovation & Social Impact, 1(1), 318-328.

[11] Han, M. (2026). Anatomy-Aware Contrastive Pre-training: Leveraging Spatial Consistency for Label-Efficient Medical Image Diagnosis Across Multi-Modal Imaging. Journal of Sustainability, Policy, and Practice, 2(1), 55-70.

[12] Chen, Y., & Chen, Z. (2025). Multi-Objective Deep Reinforcement Learning for Carbon-Aware Spatiotemporal Workload Scheduling in Geo-Distributed Data Centers. Journal of Advanced Computing Systems, 5(10), 18-30.

[13] Wu, X., Li, Y., Hao, Z., Wu, C., Wang, X., & Liu, Y. (2019). Image style transformation based on structure GAN. In 2019 Chinese Automation Congress (CAC) (pp. 2002-2007). IEEE.

[14] Wang, Z. (2025, April). DeepMotionNet: AI-Driven Predictive Animation State Transitions for Reducing Perceptual Latency in Competitive FPS Games. In 2025 6th International Conference on Computer Engineering and Application (ICCEA) (pp. 01-08). IEEE.

[15] Han, J. (2026). Enhancing Transparency in Asset-Backed Securities: A Deep Learning Approach for Automated Risk Assessment and Regulatory Compliance. Journal of Science, Innovation & Social Impact, 2(1), 1-17.

[16] Wang, Z. (2026). Optimizing Transaction Matching Performance Using Hybrid Collaborative Filtering and Deep Learning: An Empirical Analysis of Feature Engineering and Similarity Metrics. Journal of Science, Innovation & Social Impact, 2(1), 111-123.

[17] Wang, Z. (2025, October). Machine Learning-Driven Investor-Asset Matching Optimization in Commercial Real Estate Investment Decisions. In Proceedings of the 2025 2nd International Conference on Digital Economy and Computer Science (pp. 1110-1118).

[18] Zhang, J. (2026). A Comparative Evaluation of Deep Learning and Ensemble Algorithms for Online Payment Fraud Detection. Journal of Science, Innovation & Social Impact, 2(1), 164-177.

[19] Zhong, M. (2025). Fairness-Aware Feature Attribution for Credit Scoring: A Causal Path Decomposition Approach. Journal of Science, Innovation & Social Impact, 1(1), 442-451.

[20] Liu, Y. (2025). Interpretable Early Detection of Adverse Drug Reactions: Integrating Robust Anomaly Scoring with Temporal Lag Analysis and Causal Verification. Journal of Science, Innovation & Social Impact, 1(1), 471-484.

[21] Zhang, F., Cheng, Z., & Holloway, V. (2024). Deep Learning in Cardiovascular CT Imaging: Evolution, Trends, and Clinical Translation from 2020 to 2025. Journal of Computing Innovations and Applications, 2(2), 88-99.

[22] Chen, Y., & Lai, J. (2026). Multi-Metric Trustworthiness Evaluation of AI-Assisted Medical Imaging Diagnosis: Integrating Confidence Calibration and Distribution Shift Detection. Journal of Global Engineering Review, 4(1), 113-126.

[23] Huang, Y. (2025). Enhanced Feature Engineering and Algorithm Optimization for Real-Time Detection of Synthetic Identity Fraud and Money Laundering in Financial Transactions. Journal of Science, Innovation & Social Impact, 1(1), 384-397.

[24] Bai, Y. (2025). Effectiveness Evaluation of Adaptive Difficulty Adjustment Algorithms with Multimodal Feedback for Social Skills Training in Children with Autism Spectrum Disorder. Journal of Sustainability, Policy, and Practice, 1(4), 117-129.

[25] Chen, Y., Zhang, Y., & Jia, X. (2024). Efficient visual content analysis for social media advertising performance assessment. *Spectrum of Research, 4*(2). https://spectrumofresearch.com/index.php/sr/article/view/11

[26] Rao, G., Trinh, T. K., Chen, Y., Shu, M., & Zheng, S. (2024). Jump Prediction in Systemically Important Financial Institutions' CDS Prices. Spectrum of Research, 4(2).

[27] Deng, M. (2025). Real-Time Fraud Risk Scoring through Behavioral Sequence Analysis: An Explainable Approach for online Transaction Security. Journal of Sustainability, Policy, and Practice, 1(4), 130-142.

[28] Li, J. (2025). Enhanced CNN-based Feature Extraction and Classification for Chinese Artwork Styles. Journal of Science, Innovation & Social Impact, 1(2), 135-148.

[29] Wei, C., & Pan, Z. (2026). Accelerating Clinical Trial Recruitment Through Automated Eligibility Screening with Multi-Modal Deep Learning. Journal of Computing Innovations and Applications, 4(1), 1-11.

[30] Guan, H. (2025). Intelligent Detection and Protection of Personally Identifiable Information in Clinical Text: An Advanced NLP Approach with Optimized Attention Mechanisms. Journal of Science, Innovation & Social Impact, 1(2), 41-52.

[31] Wang, Z. (2025). Cultural-Intelligent Dynamic Medical Animation Generation for Cross-Lingual Telemedicine Communication Enhancement. Journal of Science, Innovation & Social Impact, 1(1), 209-221.

[32] Wu, C., & Pan, Z. (2024). An Integrated Graph Neural Network and Reinforcement Learning Framework for Intelligent Drug Discovery. Journal of Advanced Computing Systems, 4(6), 19-29.

[33] Shi, X. (2025, August). Intelligent Credit Risk Assessment for Small and Medium Enterprises Based on Multi-dimensional Data Fusion. In Proceedings of the 2025 International Conference on Generative Artificial Intelligence for Business (pp. 186-196).

[34] Pan, Z. (2026). Integrating Causal Inference with Multi-Channel Attribution for Equitable Pharmaceutical Marketing Effectiveness Evaluation. Journal of Science, Innovation & Social Impact, 2(1), 31-45.

[35] Zhong, M. (2026). Privacy-Preserving Federated Learning for Collaborative Risk Monitoring Across Financial Institutions: Balancing Regulatory Compliance and Intelligence Sharing. Journal of Sustainability, Policy, and Practice, 2(2), 44-54.

[36] Ge, L., & Rao, G. (2025). MultiStream-FinBERT: A Hybrid Deep Learning Framework for Corporate Financial Distress Prediction Integrating Accounting Metrics, Market Signals, and Textual Disclosures. Pinnacle Academic Press Proceedings Series, 3, 107-122.

[37] Chen, Y., Chen, Z., & Zou, D. (2025). CarbonShift: Harnessing Grid Carbon Variability for Geo-Distributed Workload Scheduling. Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning Review, 6(4), 18-31.

[38] Kang, A., & Ma, X. (2025). AI-Based Pattern Recognition and Characteristic Analysis of Cross-Border Money Laundering Behaviors in Digital Currency Transactions. Pinnacle Academic Press Proceedings Series, 5, 1-19.

[39] Li, Y. (2025, December). Comparative Analysis of Illumination Normalization Methods for Autonomous Driving Under Challenging Lighting Conditions. In Proceedings of the 2025 6th International Conference on Computer Science and Management Technology (pp. 633-639).

[40] Wang, Z., & Kang, A. (2025). FTAFO: A Federated Transparent Adaptive Financial Optimizer for Reducing Third-Party Dependencies in Workflow Management. Journal of Science, Innovation & Social Impact, 1(1), 329-339.

[41] Shi, W., & Bai, Y. (2024). Adaptive Learning Rate Optimization for Personalized Educational Interventions in Autism Spectrum Disorder: A Multi-Objective Reinforcement Learning Approach. Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning Review, 5(4), 128-138.

[42] Zhang, D., & Wang, Y. (2025). AI-driven quality assessment and investment risk identification for carbon credit projects in developing countries. 2025 International Forum on Computing and Intelligent Systems, 76-92.

[43] Wang, Z., & Chu, Z. (2025). GAN-Based Intelligent Keyframe Interpolation Method for Character Animation: An Automated In-betweening Approach. Journal of Science, Innovation & Social Impact, 1(2), 29-40.

[44] Guo, Y. (2025). Reliability Assessment and Adaptive Fusion Algorithm for Multi-Sensor Data in Autonomous Driving under Adverse Weather Conditions. Journal of Sustainability, Policy, and Practice, 1(4), 143-155.

[45] Rao, G., Wang, Z., & Liang, J. (2025). Reinforcement Learning for Pattern Recognition in Cross-Border Financial Transaction Anomalies: A Behavioral Economics Approach to AML. Applied and Computational Engineering, 142, 116-127.

[46] Shi, W., & Cheng, Z. (2024). Enhanced Adaptive Threshold Algorithms for Real-Time Cardiovascular Risk Prediction from Wearable HRV Data. Journal of Advanced Computing Systems, 4(1), 46-57.

[47] Huang, Y. (2025, August). Deep learning-enhanced dynamic margin period of risk prediction for counterparty credit risk management: A multi-modal approach integrating market sentiment analysis and real-time exposure assessment. In Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Intelligent Computing and Data Analysis (pp. 328-335).

[48] Zhang, C., & Liu, M. (2026). Integrating Ovarian Reserve Biomarkers with Machine Learning for Gonadotoxicity Risk Prediction in Young Female Cancer Patients: A Scoping Review. Journal of Computing Innovations and Applications, 4(1), 127-136.

[49] Li, Y., & Long, L. (2026). Lightweight AI-Driven Stress Testing for Small and Medium Financial Institutions: A Variational Autoencoder Approach with Extreme Value Theory for Macroeconomic Scenario Generation. Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning Review, 7(1), 108-119.

[50] Luo, Z., & Yu, M. (2026). An Empirical Comparison of Feature Engineering Strategies from Non-Traditional Data for Thin-File Borrower Credit Assessment. Journal of Advanced Computing Systems, 6(4), 50-59.

[51] Wang, Y. (2025). Data-Driven Analysis of Transportation Route Efficiency and Carbon Emission Correlation in Retail Distribution Networks. Journal of Science, Innovation & Social Impact, 1(1), 253-264.

[52] Shen, Q., Zhang, Y., & Xi, Y. (2024). Deep learning-based investment risk assessment model for distributed photovoltaic projects. *Journal of Advanced Computing Systems, 4*(3), 31-46. https://doi.org/10.69987/JACS.2024.40303

[53] Cai, Y. (2025). NLP-Quantified ESG News Sentiment and Portfolio Outcomes Evidence from Real-Time Signals. Annals of Applied Sciences, 6(1).

[54] Chen, Y. (2026). Comparing Prompting Strategies and Backbone Choices for LLM Agents on Tabular Credit-Card Fraud Detection: An Empirical Study. Journal of Innovative Technologies, 2(1).

[55] Zhang, C., & Xiao, P. (2026). Optimizing Breast Cancer Recurrence Time Prediction with Attention-Enhanced LSTM Networks. Journal of Advanced Computing Systems, 6(1), 80-98.

[56] Zhang, R., Fan, C., Ming, Y., Fu, H., & Meng, X. (2020, October). An Effective Hierarchical Resolution Learning Method for Low-Resolution Targets Tracking. In 2020 IEEE International Conference on Image Processing (ICIP) (pp. 2076-2080). IEEE.

[57] Weng, H. (2025). Deep Embedding Clustering with Adaptive Feature Selection for Banking Customer Segmentation. Spectrum of Research, 5(2).

[58] Li, M., Ma, D., & Zhang, Y. (2025). *Improving database anomaly detection efficiency through sample difficulty estimation* [Preprint]. Preprints.org. https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202504.1527.v1

[59] Zhang, C. (2024). Deep Learning Dose Optimization with Uncertainty Quantification for Intensity-Modulated Radiotherapy: A 3D Radiomics Approach. Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning Review, 5(2), 116-129.

[60] Kang, A., & Yu, K. (2025). The Impact of Financial Data Visualization Techniques on Enhancing Budget Transparency in Local Government Decision-Making. Spectrum of Research, 5(2).

[61] Zhong, M. (2024). Time-Decay Aware Incremental Feature Extraction for Real-Time Transaction Fraud Detection. Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning Review, 5(3), 136-145.

[62] Han, J. (2025, October). Multi-source Text Mining for Risk Signal Detection in Asset-Backed Securities Market: An NLP-driven Data Analytics Approach. In Proceedings of the 2025 International Symposium on Machine Learning and Social Computing (pp. 497-506).

[63] Liu, X. (2026). Fairness-Constrained Temporal Feature Learning Algorithm for Cross-Population Glucose Prediction and Adherence Risk Stratification Using Continuous Glucose Monitoring Data. Journal of Sustainability, Policy, and Practice, 2(3), 50-61.

[64] Rao, G., Lu, T., Yan, L., & Liu, Y. (2024). A Hybrid LSTM-KNN Framework for Detecting Market Microstructure Anomalies:: Evidence from High-Frequency Jump Behaviors in Credit Default Swap Markets. Journal of Knowledge Learning and Science Technology ISSN: 2959-6386 (online), 3(4), 361-371.

[65] Wang, Z. (2024). Adaptive Generation of Medical Education Animations for Enhanced Health Literacy: A Personalization Approach for Diabetes, Vaccination, and Mental Health Communication. Journal of Advanced Computing Systems, 4(1), 30-45.

[66] Yuan, D., & Zhang, D. (2025, May). APAC-sensitive anomaly detection: Culturally-aware AI models for enhanced AML in US securities trading. In 2025 International Conference on Computer, AI, Systems and Automation (pp. 108-121). Pinnacle Academic Press.

[67] Chung, P. T. (2026). Multi-Objective Optimization of Process Parameters for Dental Resin 3D Printing Using Improved NSGA-II Algorithm. Journal of Science, Innovation & Social Impact, 2(1), 276-287.

[68] Han, J., & Cao, G. (2024). A Comparative Study of Multi-source Data Fusion Approaches for Credit Default Early Warning. Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning Review, 5(1), 105-116.

[69] Dong, Z., & Zhang, F. (2025). Deep Learning-Based Noise Suppression and Feature Enhancement Algorithm for LED Medical Imaging Applications. Journal of Science, Innovation & Social Impact, 1(1), 9-18.

[70] Wu, C., Li, Y., Li, L., Wang, L., & Liu, Y. (2020). Caption Generation from Road Images for Traffic Scene Construction. In 2020 IEEE Intelligent Vehicles Symposium (IV) (pp. 1271-1276). IEEE.

[71] Bai, Y., & Xiao, P. (2026). Adaptive Prompt Selection and Fading Optimization for Autism Skill Acquisition: A Reinforcement Learning Approach. Journal of Advanced Computing Systems, 6(1), 32-44.

[72] Liu, W., Rao, G., & Lian, H. (2023). Anomaly Pattern Recognition and Risk Control in High-Frequency Trading Using Reinforcement Learning. Journal of Computing Innovations and Applications, 1(2), 47-58.

[73] Li, Y., Wu, C., Li, L., Liu, Y., & Zhu, J. (2021). Caption generation from road images for traffic scene modeling. IEEE Transactions on intelligent transportation systems, 23(7), 7805-7816.

[74] Ye, H. (2025). Deep Reinforcement Learning-Driven Efficacy-Toxicity Balance Optimization Strategy for Personalized Drug Combination in Cancer Patients. Journal of Science, Innovation & Social Impact, 1(1), 307-317.

[75] Bai, Y. (2025, September). Deep Learning-based Action Recognition for Temporal Analysis and Intervention Effectiveness Assessment in Autism Spectrum Disorder Children's Video Therapy. In Proceedings of the 2025 International Symposium on Artificial Intelligence and Computational Social Sciences (pp. 307-314).

[76] Han, J., & Jia, R. (2026). AI-Enhanced Cross-Asset Liquidity Contagion Pathway Identification and Dynamic Hedging Strategy Optimization: Evidence from US Equity, Bond, and Derivatives Markets. Journal of Computing Innovations and Applications, 4(1), 89-96.

[77] Han, J. (2026). Network-Based Identification of Risk Contagion Pathways Between US Credit and Equity Markets During Stress Periods. Journal of Advanced Computing Systems, 6(2), 50-63.

[78] Cao, H. (2026). An Empirical Analysis of Click Temporal Features for Automated Ad Fraud Detection in Mobile In-App Browser Environments. Journal of Sustainability, Policy, and Practice, 2(4), 142-153.

[79] Li, Y., Zhang, X., & Hao, C. (2026). Graph Neural Network-Based Cross-Market Risk Contagion Analysis between US Equity Sectors and Treasury Yields during the 2023 Regional Banking Stress. Journal of Sustainability, Policy, and Practice, 2(4), 154-168.

[80] Chung, P. T. (2025, December). Data Mining Methods for Biomechanical Property Prediction of Biomedical Materials Based on Optimized Feature Dimensionality Reduction. In Proceedings of the 2025 6th International Conference on Computer Science and Management Technology (pp. 174-180).

[81] Zhang, C. (2025, October). Comparative Study of AI Algorithms in Personalized Ovarian Stimulation Protocol Optimization: Predictive Performance Analysis Based on Patient Baseline Characteristics. In Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Intelligent Information Processing (pp. 654-662).

[82] Ge, L. (2025). Efficiency Comparison of Automated Tools versus Traditional Methods in Anti-Money Laundering Compliance Auditing for Banking Institutions. Journal of Science, Innovation & Social Impact, 1(1), 265-277.

[83] Bai, Y. (2025). Adaptive Confidence-Weighted Feature Fusion for Robust Multimodal Autism Screening in Heterogeneous Pediatric Populations. Journal of Science, Innovation & Social Impact, 1(1), 485-498.

[84] Dong, B., Zhang, D., & Xin, J. (2024). Deep reinforcement learning for optimizing order book imbalance-based high-frequency trading strategies. Journal of Computing Innovations and Applications, 2(2), 33-43.

[85] Li, Y., & Fu, X. (2026). Comparative Evaluation of Graph Neural Networks for Cross-Market Risk Contagion Path Identification in Multi-Layer Financial Networks. Journal of Sustainability, Policy, and Practice, 2(3), 1-14.

[86] Deng, M. (2026). Behavioral Association Analysis for Organized Fraud Ring Detection in Large-Scale User Interaction Data. Journal of Science, Innovation & Social Impact, 2(2), 67-81.

[87] Ye, H. (2025, April). AI-Enhanced Detection of Dynamic Structural Changes in Inflammatory Protein Interfaces: A Case Study of CD11b/Mac-1 Interactions. In 2025 6th International Conference on Computer Engineering and Application (ICCEA) (pp. 2173-2180). IEEE.

[88] Trinh, T. K., & Zhang, D. (2024). Algorithmic fairness in financial decision-making: Detection and mitigation of bias in credit scoring applications. Journal of Advanced Computing Systems, 4(2), 36-49.

[89] Weng, H., & Li, X. (2024). Renewable-Aware Cooperative Scheduling for Distributed AI Training Across Geo-Distributed Data Centers. Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning Review, 5(2), 91-100.

[90] Wei, C., & Guan, H. (2024). Privacy-Preserving Federated Learning in Medical AI: A Systematic Review of Techniques, Challenges, and the Clinical Deployment Gap. Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning Review, 5(3), 124-135.

[91] Cai, Y. (2025, June). NLP-Enhanced Predictive Analytics for UHNW Client Investment Behavior: A Risk-Aware Portfolio Optimization Approach in Volatile Markets. In Proceedings of the 2025 2nd International Conference on Digital Economy, Blockchain and Artificial Intelligence (pp. 185-191).

[92] Cheng, Z. (2025). AI Enabled Cardiovascular Disease Risk Prediction through Multimodal Data Fusion: A Predictive Analytics Approach. Journal of Sustainability, Policy, and Practice, 1(2), 98-109.

[93] Shi, X. (2026). Fairness-Aware Multimodal Fusion for Early Chronic Disease Risk Prediction: A Temporal Deep Learning Approach. Journal of Science, Innovation & Social Impact, 2(1), 217-231.

[94] Li, Z., & Wang, Z. (2024). Adaptive Cross-Cultural Medical Animation: Bridging Language and Context in AI-Driven Healthcare Communication. Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning Review, 5(1), 117-128.

[95] Li, J. (2026). Evaluating BLIP-2, LLaVA, and GPT-4V for Accessible Artwork Description Generation in Virtual Museums: A Comparative Study Based on WCAG-Aligned Evaluation and User Satisfaction. Journal of Sustainability, Policy, and Practice, 2(3), 1-12.

[96] Zhong, M. (2026). Multi-Dimensional Feature Analysis and Evaluation Methods for Anomalous Fund Flow Identification in Cross-Border Financial Transactions. Journal of Science, Innovation & Social Impact, 2(2), 1-13.

[97] Wu, Z., Zhang, Z., Zhao, Q., & Yan, L. (2025). Privacy-preserving financial transaction pattern recognition: A differential privacy approach. Preprints.

[98] Weng, H. (2026). Predictive Power versus Feature Stability: An Empirical Comparison of Filter, Embedded, and Wrapper Feature Selection Methods for Consumer Credit Pre-Approval. Academia Nexus Journal, 5(1).

[99] Ye, H. (2025). Bayesian Optimization-Based AI Framework for Nanobody Screening: Minimizing Experimental Failures in ELISA Detection Systems. Journal of Sustainability, Policy, and Practice, 1(4), 16-31.

[100] Li, L., Li, Y., Wu, C., Dong, H., Jiang, P., & Wang, F. (2021). Detail fusion GAN: High-quality translation for unpaired images with GAN-based data augmentation. In 2020 25th International Conference on Pattern Recognition (ICPR) (pp. 1731-1736). IEEE.

[101] Zou, D., Chen, Z., & Ling, Z. (2025). A Comparative Evaluation of Deep Learning Paradigms for Low-Light Image Enhancement: From CNNs to Diffusion Models. Journal of Computing Innovations and Applications, 3(2), 85-95.

[102] Han, J. (2025). AI-Enhanced Cybersecurity for Financial Networks: A Federated Learning Implementation. Journal of Science, Innovation & Social Impact, 1(1), 241-252.

[103] Li, Y., & Zhang, S. (2025). Machine Learning-Based Credit Risk Early Warning System for Small and Medium-Sized Financial Institutions: An Ensemble Learning Approach with Interpretable Risk Indicators. Journal of Science, Innovation & Social Impact, 1(1), 372-383.

[104] Xiao, P., & Fu, X. (2026). Comparative Evaluation of Post-Hoc Feature Attribution Methods on Tabular Financial Data: Faithfulness, Stability, and Computational Efficiency. Journal of Science, Innovation & Social Impact, 2(3), 1-11.

[105] Wang, Z., & Lai, J. (2026). Fairness-Accuracy Trade-offs in AI Credit Scoring: A Comparative Evaluation of Reweighting and Resampling Strategies Under Multiple Fairness Constraints. Journal of Computing Innovations and Applications, 4(1), 117-126.

[106] Wu, Z., Feng, Z., & Dong, B. (2024). Optimal feature selection for market risk assessment: A dimensional reduction approach in quantitative finance. Journal of Computing Innovations and Applications, 2(1), 20-31.

[107] Liu, Y. (2026). Anomaly Detection and Cross-Center Consistency Assessment for Multi-Site Clinical Trial Quality Control. Journal of Science, Innovation & Social Impact, 2(1), 190-204.

[108] Guo, Y. (2025). Performance Evaluation of Lightweight Detection Algorithms on Compact LiDAR-Camera Configurations for Freight Transportation. Journal of Science, Innovation & Social Impact, 1(1), 398-409.

[109] Wu, X., Li, Y., Liu, Y., Pang, S., Wang, L., Wu, C., & Huo, H. (2019). Jointly detecting and retrieving vehicles from road image sequences based on CNN. In 2019 IEEE Intelligent Vehicles Symposium (IV) (pp. 2530-2535). IEEE.

[110] Kang, A., Li, Z., & Meng, S. (2023). AI-Enhanced Risk Identification and Intelligence Sharing Framework for Anti-Money Laundering in Cross-Border Income Swap Transactions. Journal of Advanced Computing Systems, 3(5), 34-47.

[111] Huang, Y. (2024). Adaptive Importance Sampling for Jump-Diffusion CVA A Variance-Reduction Framework. Academia Nexus Journal, 3(3).

[112] Li, Y., Zhao, F., & Hu, J. (2026). Identifying Cross-Market Risk Contagion Amplifiers via Graph Attention Networks: Empirical Evidence from US Financial Stress Periods. Journal of Computing Innovations and Applications, 4(1), 164-175.

[113] Guo, Z., Man, Y., Sheng, J., Lin, B., Ahmed, A., Jiang, B., ... & Zhang, C. (2026). Event-VStream: Event-Driven Real-Time Understanding for Long Video Streams. arXiv preprint arXiv:2601.15655.

[114] Wang, X., Liu, M., & Long, L. (2026). Effectiveness Evaluation of Attention Mechanism Strategies in Deep Learning-Based Single Image Super-Resolution. Journal of Global Engineering Review, 4(1), 89-98.

[115] Li, Z., Huang, Y., & Montgomery, I. (2024). Feature Attribution-Based Explainability Analysis for Market Risk Stress Scenarios. Journal of Computing Innovations and Applications, 2(2), 136-150.

[116] Xu, S., Zhao, F., & Wang, X. (2025). An Empirical Comparison of Generation Quality and Diversity Between Discrete Diffusion and Autoregressive Text Generation. Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning Review, 6(2), 16-26.

[117] Lei, Y., & Wu, Z. (2025). A Real-Time Detection Framework for High-Risk Content on Short Video Platforms Based on Heterogeneous Feature Fusion. 2025 International Forum on Computing and Intelligent Systems, 93-106.

[118] Crowford, A., Cai, Y., & Langford, V. (2024). Machine Learning-Enhanced Dynamic Asset Allocation in Target-Date Investment Strategies for Pension Funds. Journal of Computing Innovations and Applications, 2(2), 122-135.

[119] Chung, P. T. (2025). Attention-Enhanced YOLO for Real-Time Defect Detection in 3D-Printed Dental Prostheses. Journal of Science, Innovation & Social Impact, 1(2), 119-134.

[120] Ye, H. (2026). Comparative Analysis of Machine Learning Approaches for Molecular Pathway Identification and Biomarker Discovery in Immune-Related Diseases. Journal of Science, Innovation & Social Impact, 2(1), 46-63.

[121] Li, J. (2026). Style Genes: Leveraging Generative AI for Artwork Authentication through Artistic Style Consistency Analysis. Journal of Sustainability, Policy, and Practice, 2(1), 87-100.

[122] Pan, Z. (2024). Privacy-Aware AI for Rare-Disease Patient Discovery and Targeted Outreach: An Effectiveness Study. Spectrum of Research, 4(1).

[123] Wei, C., Ge, L., & Brooks, N. (2024). Graph-based Representation Learning for Financial Fraud and Anomaly Transaction Detection. Journal of Computing Innovations and Applications, 2(1), 153-164.

[124] Zhao, F., Zhang, M., Zhou, S., & Lou, Q. (2024). Application of deep reinforcement learning for cryptocurrency market trend forecasting and risk management.

[125] Han, M., Jin, Z., & Zou, D. (2026). Comparative Evaluation of Self-Supervised Pretraining Strategies for Few-Shot Medical Image Analysis. Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning Review, 7(2), 23-42.

[126] Li, Y. (2026). Enhancing Financial Compliance Transparency through Automated Data Governance and Intelligent Risk Reporting. Journal of Science, Innovation & Social Impact, 2(1), 299-313.

[127] Zhang, D., & Ma, X. (2025). Machine Learning-Based Credit Risk Assessment for Green Bonds: Climate Factor Integration and Default Prediction Analysis. Journal of Sustainability, Policy, and Practice, 1(2), 121-135.

[128] Guo, Y. (2026). A Multi-Dimensional Coverage Metric with Evolutionary Search for Safety-Critical Scenario Generation in Autonomous Driving Testing. Journal of Science, Innovation & Social Impact, 2(2), 82-93.

[129] Zhang, F., Ye, H., & Wei, C. (2024). Leveraging Multi-Modal Attention Mechanisms for Interpretable Biomarker Discovery and Early Disease Prediction. Journal of Computing Innovations and Applications, 2(2), 111-121.

[130] Cheng, Z. (2025). Graph Attention-Based Feature Selection for Multi-Omics Drug Target Prediction in Cardiovascular Diseases. Journal of Science, Innovation & Social Impact, 1(1), 294-306.

[131] Han, M. (2025). Intelligent Recognition of Anomalous Behaviors in Medical Insurance Through Deep Learning. Journal of Science, Innovation & Social Impact, 1(1), 410-426.

[132] Luo, Z. (2026). Fairness-Aware Credit Evaluation: Bias Detection and Mitigation Techniques for Inclusive Lending Practices. Journal of Sustainability, Policy, and Practice, 2(2), 78-89.

[133] Kang, A., Zhang, K., & Chen, Y. (2025). AI-Assisted Analysis of Policy Communication during Economic Crises: Correlations with Market Confidence and Recovery Outcomes. Pinnacle Academic Press Proceedings Series, 3, 159-173.

[134] Dong, Z., & Jia, R. (2025). Adaptive Dose Optimization Algorithm for LED-based Photodynamic Therapy Based on Deep Reinforcement Learning. Journal of Sustainability, Policy, and Practice, 1(3), 144-155.

[135] Liu, Y. (2025). Explainable Risk Stratification and Resource Coordination for Hospital Readmission Management through Integrated Prediction-Intervention-Evaluation Framework. Journal of Science, Innovation & Social Impact, 1(2), 107-118.

[136] Guo, Y., & Wei, C. (2026). Latency-Adaptive Feature Fusion Weight Allocation Under Bandwidth Constraints for V2X Cooperative 3D Object Detection. Journal of Advanced Computing Systems, 6(3), 22-31.

[137] Rao, G., Zheng, S., & Guo, L. (2025). Dynamic Reinforcement Learning for Suspicious Fund Flow Detection: A Multi-layer Transaction Network Approach with Adaptive Strategy Optimization. Applied and Computational Engineering, 145, 1-11.

[138] Li, M., & Xu, S. (2026). Trustworthy artificial intelligence in financial decision-making: A systematic review of explainability, fairness, and accountability. Journal of Sustainability, Policy, and Practice, 2(3), 104-114.

[139] Li, Y. (2026). Benchmarking Robustness-Efficiency Trade-offs of Camera-LiDAR Fusion Strategies for 3D Object Detection Under Environmental Corruptions. Journal of Science, Innovation & Social Impact, 2(2), 104-116.

[140] Zhong, M. (2025, September). Adaptive Anomaly Detection Threshold for Financial Data Quality Monitoring Based on Time Series Features. In Proceedings of the 2025 International Symposium on Artificial Intelligence and Computational Social Sciences (pp. 578-587).

[141] Chen, Y. (2026). Multi-Dimensional Training Data Bias Detection and Fairness-Aware Augmentation for Equitable AI-Assisted Medical Imaging Diagnosis. Journal of Sustainability, Policy, and Practice, 2(4), 1-15.

[142] Wu, C., Guan, H., & Weng, H. (2024). Forecasting hospital resource demand using gradient boosting: An operational analytics approach for bed allocation and patient flow management. Journal of Computing Innovations and Applications, 2(1), 74-85.

[143] Chung, P. T. (2025, December). Enhancing Dental Polymer Formulation through Interpretable Machine Learning: A Comparative Analysis of Feature Selection and Algorithm Performance. In Proceedings of the 2025 6th International Conference on Computer Science and Management Technology (pp. 234-241).